

Transformations

Let $\left(\frac{dz}{dx}\right)^n = \sum_{k=1}^K g_k(x) \cdot z^{m_k}$. Then $z^\alpha \cdot \left(\frac{dz}{dx}\right)^n = \sum_{k=1}^K g_k(x) \cdot z^{m_k + \alpha}$. So

$\left(z^{\alpha/n} \cdot \frac{dz}{dx}\right)^n = \sum_{k=1}^K g_k(x) \cdot z^{m_k + \alpha}$. Let $u \equiv z^{\alpha/n+1} = z^{\frac{\alpha+n}{n}}$. So $z = u^{\frac{n}{\alpha+n}}$ and $\frac{du}{dx} = \frac{\alpha+n}{n} \cdot z^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \cdot \frac{dz}{dx}$.

So $\left(\frac{du}{dx}\right)^n = \sum_{k=1}^K \left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n}\right)^n g_k(x) \cdot z^{m_k + \alpha}$. So $\left(\frac{du}{dx}\right)^n = \sum_{k=1}^K \left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n}\right)^n g_k(x) \cdot u^{\frac{n(\alpha+m_k)}{\alpha+n}}$.

Let $z = F(g_1(x), \dots, g_K(x), m_1, \dots, m_K)$. Then

$$u = F\left(\left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n}\right)^n \cdot g_1(x), \dots, \left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n}\right)^n \cdot g_K(x), \frac{n \cdot (m_1 + \alpha)}{n + \alpha}, \dots, \frac{n \cdot (m_K + \alpha)}{n + \alpha}\right).$$

So F satisfies the functional, *non-differential* relation

$$\left(F\left(\left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n}\right)^n \cdot g_1(x), \dots, \left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n}\right)^n \cdot g_K(x), \frac{n \cdot (m_1 + \alpha)}{n + \alpha}, \dots, \frac{n \cdot (m_K + \alpha)}{n + \alpha}\right)\right)^{\frac{n}{\alpha+n}} = F(g_1(x), \dots, g_K(x), m_1, \dots, m_K)$$

Observe that one may permute the functions and corresponding powers. Note also that if $z = F(g_1(x), \dots, g_K(x), m_1, \dots, m_K)$ satisfies this nonlinear functional equation, then any power of it, $H(g_1(x), \dots, g_K(x), m_1, \dots, m_K) \equiv (F(g_1(x), \dots, g_K(x), m_1, \dots, m_K))^\beta$, satisfies the same nonlinear functional equation, because

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(H\left(\left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n}\right)^n \cdot g_1(x), \dots, \left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n}\right)^n \cdot g_K(x), \frac{n \cdot (m_1 + \alpha)}{n + \alpha}, \dots, \frac{n \cdot (m_K + \alpha)}{n + \alpha}\right)\right)^{\frac{n}{\alpha+n}} \\ & \left(F\left(\left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n}\right)^n \cdot g_1(x), \dots, \left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n}\right)^n \cdot g_K(x), \frac{n \cdot (m_1 + \alpha)}{n + \alpha}, \dots, \frac{n \cdot (m_K + \alpha)}{n + \alpha}\right)\right)^{\beta \cdot \frac{n}{\alpha+n}} \\ & = (F(g_1(x), \dots, g_K(x), m_1, \dots, m_K))^\beta \\ & = H(g_1(x), \dots, g_K(x), m_1, \dots, m_K) \end{aligned}$$

The Bernoulli Equation

Set $K = 2$, $m_1 = 1$, $n = 1$, $m_2 = m$. Then $\frac{dz}{dx} = g_1(x) \cdot z + g_2(x) \cdot z^m$

$\left(F((\alpha+1) \cdot g_1(x), (\alpha+1) \cdot g_2(x), 1, \frac{\alpha+m}{\alpha+1})\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} = F(g_1(x), g_2(x), 1, m)$. We may simplify F

by expressing F as a function of 3 variables, not 4. Thus, $z = F(g_1(x), g_2(x), m)$. Then

for almost any α , $\left(F((\alpha+1) \cdot g_1(x), (\alpha+1) \cdot g_2(x), \frac{\alpha+m}{\alpha+1})\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} = F(g_1(x), g_2(x), m)$. The

substitution $z = u^{\frac{1}{1-m}}$ leads to the linear equation $\frac{du}{dx} = (1-m) \cdot g_1(x) \cdot u + (1-m) \cdot g_2(x)$.

Thus for almost any α ,

$$\left(F((\alpha+1) \cdot g_1(x), (\alpha+1) \cdot g_2(x), \frac{\alpha+m}{\alpha+1}) \right)^{\frac{1-m}{1+\alpha}} = (F(g_1(x), g_2(x), m))^{1-m} = u(g_1(x), g_2(x), m).$$

Choose $\alpha = -m$. We get $F((1-m) \cdot g_1(x), (1-m) \cdot g_2(x), 0) = u(g_1(x), g_2(x), m)$. But

$(F(g_1(x), g_2(x), m))^{1-m} = u(g_1(x), g_2(x), m)$ implies, by setting $m = 0$, that

$(F(g_1(x), g_2(x), 0))^1 = u(g_1(x), g_2(x), 0)$. Hence

$F((1-m) \cdot g_1(x), (1-m) \cdot g_2(x), 0) = u((1-m) \cdot g_1(x), (1-m) \cdot g_2(x), 0)$. Hence

$u(g_1(x), g_2(x), m) = u((1-m) \cdot g_1(x), (1-m) \cdot g_2(x), 0)$. Clearly, this functional relation is

satisfied by the linear differential equation $\frac{du}{dx} = (1-m) \cdot g_1(x) \cdot u + (1-m) \cdot g_2(x)$.

The relation $u(g_1(x), g_2(x), m) = u((1-m) \cdot g_1(x), (1-m) \cdot g_2(x), 0)$ is the same as $u(s, t, m) = u((1-m) \cdot s, (1-m) \cdot t, 0)$ for any s, t . So

$$F(g_1, g_2, m) = \left[z_0 + (1-m) \int_{t=0}^{t=x} g_2(t) \cdot \exp\left((m-1) \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=t} g_1(\theta) d\theta \right) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{1-m}} \cdot \exp\left(\int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=x} g_1(\theta) d\theta \right)$$

The Abel Equation

Set $n = 1$, $K = 2$, $g_1(x) = u$, $g_2(x) = v$, $m_1 = m$, $m_2 = n$ in $\left(\frac{dz}{dx} \right)^n = \sum_{k=1}^K g_k(x) \cdot z^{m_k}$

to get $\frac{dz}{dx} = u \cdot z^m + v \cdot z^n$. Then $\left(F((1+\alpha) \cdot u, (1+\alpha) \cdot v, \frac{m+\alpha}{1+\alpha}, \frac{n+\alpha}{1+\alpha}) \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} = F(u, v, m, n)$.

So $F(\alpha \cdot u, \alpha \cdot v, \frac{m-1}{\alpha} + 1, \frac{n-1}{\alpha} + 1) = (F(u, v, m, n))^\alpha$. We might face one problem: when

we write this functional equation in terms of u and v as if they are independent variables, implying that u is constant with respect to v and vice versa, then we might get one general solution $F(u, v, m, n)$ for which substituting $g_1(x)$ for u and $g_2(x)$ for v will certainly satisfy the functional relation above. However, there may exist other solutions, $S(g_1(x), g_2(x), m, n)$, which are *not* specializations of $F(u, v, m, n)$ and which work *only* with $g_1(x)$ substituted for u and $g_2(x)$ for v .

Since $F(u, v, m, n) = \left(F(\alpha \cdot u, \alpha \cdot v, \frac{m-1}{\alpha} + 1, \frac{n-1}{\alpha} + 1) \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$, then

$\ln(F(u, v, m, n)) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \ln \left(F(\alpha \cdot u, \alpha \cdot v, \frac{m-1}{\alpha} + 1, \frac{n-1}{\alpha} + 1) \right)$. Define $a \equiv \alpha \cdot u$, $b \equiv \alpha \cdot v$,

$c \equiv \frac{m-1}{\alpha} + 1$, and $d \equiv \frac{n-1}{\alpha} + 1$. Now, differentiate this equation with respect to each of

the variables u, v, m, n in turn. We get 4 equations.

$$\frac{F_u(u, v, m, n)}{F(u, v, m, n)} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{F_a(a, b, c, d)}{F(a, b, c, d)} \cdot \frac{\partial a}{\partial u} = \frac{F_a(a, b, c, d)}{F(a, b, c, d)}, \quad \frac{F_v(u, v, m, n)}{F(u, v, m, n)} = \frac{F_b(a, b, c, d)}{F(a, b, c, d)},$$

$$\frac{F_m(u, v, m, n)}{F(u, v, m, n)} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{F_c(a, b, c, d)}{F(a, b, c, d)} \cdot \frac{\partial c}{\partial m} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \frac{F_c(a, b, c, d)}{F(a, b, c, d)}, \quad \frac{F_n(u, v, m, n)}{F(u, v, m, n)} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \cdot \frac{F_d(a, b, c, d)}{F(a, b, c, d)}.$$

If we define $G(u, v, m, n) \equiv \frac{F_u(u, v, m, n)}{F(u, v, m, n)} = \frac{F_a(a, b, c, d)}{F(a, b, c, d)}$, observe that $G(u, v, m, n)$ is invariant under the *simultaenous* transformations $u \leftrightarrow a$, $v \leftrightarrow b$, $m \leftrightarrow c$, and $n \leftrightarrow d$ including in the subscript denoting partial derivative. Similarly, we may define

$$H(u, v, m, n) \equiv \frac{F_v(u, v, m, n)}{F(u, v, m, n)} = \frac{F_b(a, b, c, d)}{F(a, b, c, d)} = H(a, b, c, d),$$

$$I(u, v, m, n) \equiv \frac{F_m(u, v, m, n)}{F(u, v, m, n)} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \frac{F_c(a, b, c, d)}{F(a, b, c, d)} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \cdot I(a, b, c, d),$$

$$J(u, v, m, n) \equiv \frac{F_n(u, v, m, n)}{F(u, v, m, n)} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \frac{F_d(a, b, c, d)}{F(a, b, c, d)} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \cdot J(a, b, c, d),$$

such that H is invariant under these 4 simultaneous transformations, while I and J each get multiplied by a factor of α^{-2} .

We seek the most general forms of these four functions as possible. Take total derivatives of $G(u, v, m, n) = G(a, b, c, d) = G(\alpha \cdot u, \alpha \cdot v, \frac{m-1}{\alpha} + 1, \frac{n-1}{\alpha} + 1)$ with respect to α . We get $0 = G_a(a, b, c, d) \cdot u + G_b(a, b, c, d) \cdot v - G_c(a, b, c, d) \cdot \frac{m-1}{\alpha^2} - G_d(a, b, c, d) \cdot \frac{n-1}{\alpha^2}$.

Differentiate as many times with respect to α as we need to, then set α to 1. Question: will subsequent differentiations with respect to α yield *independent* PDEs?

If we set α to 1 in this PDE we get

$$0 = G_u(u, v, m, n) \cdot u + G_v(u, v, m, n) \cdot v - G_m(u, v, m, n) \cdot (m-1) - G_n(u, v, m, n) \cdot (n-1).$$

Similarly, we will get

$$0 = H_u(u, v, m, n) \cdot u + H_v(u, v, m, n) \cdot v - H_m(u, v, m, n) \cdot (m-1) - H_n(u, v, m, n) \cdot (n-1).$$

The general solution is $G(u, v, m, n) = \sum_{\substack{i, j, k, l \\ \exists i+j-k-l=0}} G C_{i, j, k, l} \cdot u^i \cdot v^j \cdot (m-1)^k \cdot (n-1)^l$ and

$$H(u, v, m, n) = \sum_{\substack{i, j, k, l \\ \exists i+j-k-l=0}} H C_{i, j, k, l} \cdot u^i \cdot v^j \cdot (m-1)^k \cdot (n-1)^l.$$

A second differentiation with respect to α yields

$$0 = G_{aa} \cdot u^2 + G_{bb} \cdot v^2 + G_{cc} \cdot (m-1)^2 \alpha^{-4} + G_{dd} \cdot (n-1)^2 \alpha^{-4}$$

$$+ 2 \cdot \left[G_{ab} \cdot uv + G_{cd} \cdot (m-1)(n-1) \alpha^{-4} \right.$$

$$\left. - \alpha^{-2} \cdot \{ G_{ac} \cdot u(m-1) + G_{ad} \cdot u(n-1) + G_{bc} \cdot v(m-1) + G_{bd} \cdot v(n-1) \} \right]$$

$$+ 2 \cdot \alpha^{-3} [G_c \cdot (m-1) + G_d \cdot (n-1)]$$

$$0 = G_{uu} \cdot u^2 + G_{vv} \cdot v^2 + G_{mm} \cdot (m-1)^2 + G_{nn} \cdot (n-1)^2$$

Set α to 1 to get $+2 \cdot \left[\begin{array}{l} G_{uv} \cdot uv + G_{mn} \cdot (m-1)(n-1) \\ -\{G_{um} \cdot u(m-1) + G_{vn} \cdot v(n-1) + G_{vm} \cdot v(m-1) + G_{un} \cdot u(n-1)\} \\ +2 \cdot [G_m \cdot (m-1) + G_n \cdot (n-1)] \end{array} \right]$

Reading off the powers, we can guess that any function

$$G(u, v, m, n) = \sum_{i,j,k,l} G_{i,j,k,l} \cdot u^i \cdot v^j \cdot (m-1)^k \cdot (n-1)^l \text{ whose coefficients } G_{i,j,k,l} \text{ are all 0}$$

unless $i \cdot (i-1) + j \cdot (j-1) + k \cdot (k-1) + l \cdot (l-1) + 2 \cdot (i \cdot j + k \cdot l - i \cdot k - i \cdot l - j \cdot k - j \cdot l) + 2 \cdot (k+l) = 0$ which simplifies to

$((i+j) - (k+l))^2 + ((k+l) - (i+j)) = 0$. So, third equation is satisfied whenever $i+j = k+l$ or $i+j = k+l+1$. Hence, these two PDEs are *dependent*.

In fact, one sees that *all* differential consequences of this PDE with respect to α will have solutions which are functions of $i+j$ and $k+l$ separately.

Also, $0 = -2\alpha^{-3} \cdot J(a, b, c, d) + \alpha^{-2} \cdot \{J_a(a, b, c, d) \cdot u + J_b(a, b, c, d) \cdot v - \alpha^{-2} \cdot [J_c(a, b, c, d) \cdot (m-1) + J_d(a, b, c, d) \cdot (n-1)]\}$ Set α to 1 in this PDE. We get $0 = -2 \cdot J(u, v, m, n) + \{J_u(u, v, m, n) \cdot u + J_v(u, v, m, n) \cdot v - [J_m(u, v, m, n) \cdot (m-1) + J_n(u, v, m, n) \cdot (n-1)]\}$

Before we do a lot of work finding the most general solution for $G(u, v, m, n)$, observe that we will get similar *linear partial differential equations* for $H(u, v, m, n)$, $I(u, v, m, n)$, and $J(u, v, m, n)$. These general solutions will have to be further constrained by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} F(u, v, m, n) &= F(0, v, m, n) \cdot \exp\left(\int_0^u G(\theta, v, m, n) \cdot d\theta\right) \\ &= F(u, 0, m, n) \cdot \exp\left(\int_0^v H(u, \theta, m, n) \cdot d\theta\right) \\ &= F(u, v, 1, n) \cdot \exp\left(\int_1^m I(u, v, \theta, n) \cdot d\theta\right) \\ &= F(u, v, m, 1) \cdot \exp\left(\int_1^n J(u, v, m, \theta) \cdot d\theta\right) \end{aligned}$$

We know the solutions $F(u, v, 1, n)$ and $F(u, v, m, 1)$. These are the Bernoulli equations. Similarly, $F(0, v, m, n)$ and $F(u, 0, m, n)$ “collapse” to solutions of easy cases of the Abel equation. The definitions of G , H , I , and J relate them in the following 6 ways: $G_v = H_u$, $G_m = I_u$, $G_n = J_u$, $H_m = I_v$, $H_n = J_v$, $I_n = J_m$.

$$\sum_{\substack{i,j,k,l \\ \exists i+j+1-k-l=0}} G C_{i,j+1,k,l} \cdot (j+1) \cdot u^i \cdot v^j \cdot (m-1)^k \cdot (n-1)^l = G_v$$

So $H_u = \sum_{\substack{i,j,k,l \\ \exists i+1+j-k-l=0}} H C_{i+1,j,k,l} \cdot (i+1) \cdot u^i \cdot v^j \cdot (m-1)^k \cdot (n-1)^l$

So $G C_{i,j+1,k,l} = H C_{i+1,j,k,l}$. Similarly $G C_{i,j,k+1,l} = I C_{i+1,j,k,l}$, $G C_{i,j,k,l+1} = J C_{i+1,j,k,l}$,
 $H C_{i,j,k+1,l} = I C_{i,j+1,k,l}$, $H C_{i,j,k,l+1} = J C_{i,j+1,k,l}$, $I C_{i,j,k,l+1} = J C_{i,j,k+1,l}$.

We must somehow associate u with m and v with n . It *might* be possible to make this association by the formula above via the solutions $F(0, v, m, n)$ and $F(u, 0, m, n)$ and the Bernoulli solutions $F(u, v, 1, n)$ and $F(u, v, m, 1)$. We have

$$F(0, v, m, n) \text{ is the solution of } \frac{dz}{dx} = v \cdot z^n. \text{ So } F(0, v, m, n) = \left((1-n) \cdot \int_{t=0}^{t=x} v(t) dt + z_0^{1-n} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-n}}$$

$$\text{for } n \neq 1 \text{ and } F(0, v, m, 1) = z_0 \cdot \exp\left(\int_{t=0}^{t=x} v(t) dt\right).$$

And, we also have the initial condition of the original Abel differential equation

$$\frac{dz}{dx} = u \cdot z^m + v \cdot z^n \text{ to satisfy, namely, } z|_{x=0} = F(u(0), v(0), m, n). \text{ Since}$$

$$F(u, v, 1, n) = \left[z_0 + (1-n) \int_{t=0}^{t=x} v(t) \cdot \exp\left((n-1) \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=t} u(\theta) d\theta\right) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{1-n}} \cdot \exp\left(\int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=x} u(\theta) d\theta\right)$$

$$F(u, v, m, 1) = \left[z_0 + (1-m) \int_{t=0}^{t=x} u(t) \cdot \exp\left((m-1) \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=t} v(\theta) d\theta\right) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{1-m}} \cdot \exp\left(\int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=x} v(\theta) d\theta\right)$$

$$\ln(z_0) = \ln(F(u(0), v(0), m, 1)) + \int_1^n J(u(0), v(0), m, \theta) \cdot d\theta. \text{ But we also have}$$

$$\ln(F(u, v, m, 1)) + \int_1^n J(u, v, m, \theta) \cdot d\theta = \ln(F(u, v, 1, n)) + \int_1^m I(u, v, \theta, n) \cdot d\theta. \text{ So}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1-m} \ln \left[z_0 + (1-m) \int_{t=0}^{t=x} u(t) \cdot \exp\left((m-1) \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=t} v(\theta) d\theta\right) dt \right] + \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=x} v(\theta) d\theta + \int_1^n J(u, v, m, \theta) \cdot d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{1-n} \ln \left[z_0 + (1-n) \int_{t=0}^{t=x} v(t) \cdot \exp\left((n-1) \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=t} u(\theta) d\theta\right) dt \right] + \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=x} u(\theta) d\theta + \int_1^m I(u, v, \theta, n) \cdot d\theta \end{aligned}$$

$$F_u \cdot \frac{du}{dx} + F_v \cdot \frac{dv}{dx} = \frac{DF}{Dx} = u \cdot F^m + v \cdot F^n$$

We also have

$$= u \cdot F\left(m \cdot u, m \cdot v, \frac{m-1}{m} + 1, \frac{n-1}{m} + 1\right) + v \cdot F\left(n \cdot u, n \cdot v, \frac{m-1}{n} + 1, \frac{n-1}{n} + 1\right)$$

For any meromorphic functions $u(x)$ of x , we can express $\frac{du}{dx}$ as a function of u , say

$$\frac{du}{dx} = \psi(u). \text{ Similarly, let } \frac{dv}{dx} = \phi(v). \text{ So}$$

$$F_u \cdot \psi(u) + F_v \cdot \phi(v) = u \cdot F\left(m \cdot u, m \cdot v, 2 - \frac{1}{m}, \frac{n-1}{m} + 1\right) + v \cdot F\left(n \cdot u, n \cdot v, \frac{m-1}{n} + 1, 2 - \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Write $F(u, v, m, n) = \sum_{i,j,k,l} {}_F C_{i,j,k,l} \cdot u^i v^j m^k n^l$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(u, v, m, n) &= \psi(u) \cdot \sum_{i,j,k,l} {}_F C_{i,j,k,l} \cdot i \cdot u^{i-1} v^j m^k n^l + \phi(v) \cdot \sum_{i,j,k,l} {}_F C_{i,j,k,l} \cdot j \cdot u^i v^{j-1} m^k n^l \\ &+ \sum_{i,j,k,l} {}_F C_{i,j,k,l} \cdot u^{i+1} v^j m^{i+j-k-l} (2m-1)^k (n-1+m)^l + \sum_{i,j,k,l} {}_F C_{i,j,k,l} \cdot u^i v^{j+1} n^{i+j-k-l} (2n-1)^l (m-1+n)^k \end{aligned}$$

The solution to the general Abel equation satisfies

$$\frac{n}{\alpha+n} \cdot \ln \left(F \left(\left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n} \right)^n \cdot g_1(x), \dots, \left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n} \right)^n \cdot g_K(x), \frac{n \cdot (m_1 + \alpha)}{n + \alpha}, \dots, \frac{n \cdot (m_K + \alpha)}{n + \alpha} \right) \right) \text{ Define}$$

$$= \ln(F(g_1(x), \dots, g_K(x), m_1, \dots, m_K))$$

$$a_k \equiv \left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n} \right)^n \cdot g_k \text{ and } b_k \equiv \frac{n \cdot (m_k + \alpha)}{n + \alpha}. \text{ So}$$

$$\frac{n}{\alpha+n} \cdot \ln(F(a_1, \dots, a_K, b_1, \dots, b_K)) = \ln(F(g_1, \dots, g_K, m_1, \dots, m_K)). \text{ Differentiate this equation}$$

with respect to each of $g_1, \dots, g_K, m_1, \dots, m_K$ in turn. We get for each $k \in [K]$,

$$\frac{n}{\alpha+n} \cdot \frac{F_{a,k}(a_1, \dots, a_K, b_1, \dots, b_K)}{F(a_1, \dots, a_K, b_1, \dots, b_K)} \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n} \right)^n = \frac{F_{g,k}(g_1, \dots, g_K, m_1, \dots, m_K)}{F(g_1, \dots, g_K, m_1, \dots, m_K)} \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{n}{\alpha+n} \cdot \frac{F_{b,k}(a_1, \dots, a_K, b_1, \dots, b_K)}{F(a_1, \dots, a_K, b_1, \dots, b_K)} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{\alpha+n} \right) = \frac{F_{m,k}(g_1, \dots, g_K, m_1, \dots, m_K)}{F(g_1, \dots, g_K, m_1, \dots, m_K)} \text{ where the pair of}$$

subscripts g, k means “partial differentiation with respect to the variable g_k ”, and m, k means “partial differentiation with respect to variable m_k ”, and a, k means “partial differentiation with respect to the variable a_k ”, and b, k means “partial differentiation with respect to the variable b_k ”. Define

$${}_{g,k} J(g_1, \dots, g_K, m_1, \dots, m_K) \equiv \frac{F_{g,k}(g_1, \dots, g_K, m_1, \dots, m_K)}{F(g_1, \dots, g_K, m_1, \dots, m_K)} \text{ and}$$

$${}_{m,k} J(g_1, \dots, g_K, m_1, \dots, m_K) \equiv \frac{F_{m,k}(g_1, \dots, g_K, m_1, \dots, m_K)}{F(g_1, \dots, g_K, m_1, \dots, m_K)}. \text{ Under the transformation which}$$

simultaneously replaces all g_k with a_k and all m_k with b_k , ${}_{g,k} J$ becomes

$${}_{a,k} J \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n} \right)^{n-1} \text{ and } {}_{m,k} J \text{ becomes } {}_{b,k} J \cdot \left(\frac{n}{\alpha+n} \right)^2.$$

Now, differentiate ${}_{a,k}J \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n}\right)^{n-1} = {}_{g,k}J$ with respect to α . Get

$$\left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n}\right)^{n-1} \cdot \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^K {}_{a,k}J_{a,l} \cdot \frac{\partial a_l}{\partial \alpha} + \sum_{l=1}^K {}_{a,k}J_{b,l} \cdot \frac{\partial b_l}{\partial \alpha} \right\} + (n-1) \cdot \frac{1}{n} {}_{a,k}J \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n}\right)^{n-2} = 0, \text{ or}$$

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n}\right)^n \cdot \sum_{l=1}^K {}_{a,k}J_{a,l} \cdot g_l + (\alpha+n) \cdot n \cdot \sum_{l=1}^K {}_{a,k}J_{b,l} \cdot \frac{n-m_l}{(n+\alpha)^2} \right\} + (n-1) {}_{a,k}J = 0$$

since $b_k = n + n \cdot \frac{m_k - n}{n + \alpha} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial b_k}{\partial \alpha} = n \cdot \frac{n - m_k}{(n + \alpha)^2}$. Specialize $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ to get

$$\left\{ \sum_{l=1}^K {}_{g,k}J_{g,l} \cdot g_l + \sum_{l=1}^K {}_{g,k}J_{m,l} \cdot (n - m_l) \right\} + (n-1) {}_{g,k}J = 0.$$

Differentiate ${}_{b,k}J \cdot \left(\frac{n}{\alpha+n}\right)^2 = {}_{m,k}J$ with respect to α . Get

$$\left(\frac{n}{\alpha+n}\right)^2 \cdot \left\{ \left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n}\right)^{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^K {}_{b,k}J_{a,l} \cdot g_l + n \cdot \sum_{l=1}^K {}_{b,k}J_{b,l} \cdot \frac{n-m_l}{(n+\alpha)^2} \right\} - 2 \frac{n^2}{(\alpha+n)^3} {}_{b,k}J = 0$$

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{\alpha+n}{n}\right)^n \sum_{l=1}^K {}_{b,k}J_{a,l} \cdot g_l + (\alpha+n) \cdot n \cdot \sum_{l=1}^K {}_{b,k}J_{b,l} \cdot \frac{n-m_l}{(n+\alpha)^2} \right\} - 2 \cdot {}_{b,k}J = 0. \text{ Specialize } \alpha \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{to get } \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^K {}_{m,k}J_{g,l} \cdot g_l + \sum_{l=1}^K {}_{m,k}J_{m,l} \cdot (n - m_l) \right\} - 2 \cdot {}_{m,k}J = 0.$$

We seek solutions ${}_{g,k}J$ and ${}_{m,k}J$ of these two *linear partial differential equations* (LPDEs) which satisfy the additional conditions ${}_{g,k}J_{m,l} = {}_{m,l}J_{g,k}$ and ${}_{g,k}J_{g,l} = {}_{g,l}J_{g,k}$ and ${}_{m,k}J_{m,l} = {}_{m,l}J_{m,k}$ for all $k, l \in [K]$.

First-order polynomial ordinary differential equations

Let $\sum_{i,j} g_{i,j}(x) \cdot z^{m_i} \cdot \left(\frac{dz}{dx}\right)^{n_j} = 0$ have the solution $z = F(\bar{g}, \bar{m}, \bar{n})$. Then

$$\sum_{i,j} g_{i,j}(x) \cdot z^{m_i - \beta \cdot n_j} \cdot \left(\frac{z^\beta dz}{dx}\right)^{n_j} = 0 \text{ or } \sum_{i,j} g_{i,j}(x) \cdot z^{m_i - \beta \cdot n_j} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\beta+1} \frac{dz^{\beta+1}}{dx}\right)^{n_j} = 0 \text{ or}$$

$$\sum_{i,j} \frac{g_{i,j}}{(\beta+1)^{n_j}} \cdot u^{\frac{m_i - \beta \cdot n_j}{1+\beta}} \cdot \left(\frac{du}{dx}\right)^{n_j} = 0 \text{ where } u \equiv z^{\beta+1}. \text{ So } u = F\left(\frac{g_{i,j}}{(\beta+1)^{n_j}}, \frac{m_i - \beta \cdot n_j}{1+\beta}, \bar{n}\right). \text{ So}$$

$$F(g_{i,j}, m_i, n_j) = \left(F\left(\frac{g_{i,j}}{(\beta+1)^{n_j}}, \frac{m_i - \beta \cdot n_j}{1+\beta}, n_j\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\beta}}.$$

Chemical Kinetics

Let $\frac{dz_i}{dt} = \sum_{k=1}^K c_{i,k} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^J z_j^{L_{j,k}}$ for each $i \in [I]$. Let $\bar{z} = \bar{F}(\bar{c})$ denote the vector-valued

function $z_i = F_i(\{\{c_{i,k}\}_{k=1}^K\}, \{L_{j,k}\})$. So $z_i^{v_i} \cdot \frac{dz_i}{dt} = \sum_{k=1}^K c_{i,k} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^J z_j^{L_{j,k} + v_i \cdot \delta_{i,j}}$. So

$\frac{dz_i^{v_i+1}}{dt} = \sum_{k=1}^K (v_i + 1) \cdot c_{i,k} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^J z_j^{L_{j,k} + v_i \cdot \delta_{i,j}}$. Define $u_i \equiv z_i^{v_i+1}$. Then $z_j = u_j^{1/(v_j+1)}$. So

$\frac{du_i}{dt} = \sum_{k=1}^K (v_i + 1) \cdot c_{i,k} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^J u_j^{\frac{L_{j,k} + v_i \cdot \delta_{i,j}}{1+v_j}}$. Crucial question: does the set $\left\{ \frac{L_{j,k} + v_i \cdot \delta_{i,j}}{1+v_j} \right\}_{\substack{j \in [J] \\ k \in [K]}}$

consist of at most $J \cdot K$ values, for a given vector $\bar{v} \equiv (v_i)_{i=1}^I$? If so, then

$u_i = F_i(\{\{(1+v_i) \cdot c_{i,k}\}_{k=1}^K\}, \left\{ \frac{L_{j,k} + v_i \cdot \delta_{i,j}}{1+v_j} \right\}_{\substack{j \in [J] \\ k \in [K]}})$ for each $i \in [I]$